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#### Abstract

We have previously found that the 4-[4-(N-substituted carbamoyl)-1-piperazinyl]-6,7-dimethoxyquinazolines can function as potent and selective inhibitors of platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) phosphorylation. A series of highly potent, specific, orally active, small molecule kinase inhibitors directed against members of PDGFR receptor have been devel oped through modifications of the novel quinazoline template I. Systematic modifications in the A-bicyclic ring and D-rings of protype I were carried out to afford potent analogues, which display $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $<250 \mathrm{nM}$ in cellular $\beta$ PDGFR phosphorylation assays. An optimized analogue in this series, 75 (CT53518), inhibits FIt-3, $\beta$ PDGFR, and c-Kit receptor phosphoryIation with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $50-200 \mathrm{nM}$, whereas $15-20$-fold less potent activity against CSF1R was observed. This analogue also inhibits autophosphorylation of FIt-3 ligand-stimulated wild-type FIt-3 and a constitutively activated FIt-3/internal tandem duplication (ITD) with $1 C_{50}$ values of $30-100 \mathrm{nM}$. Through this optimization process, 75 was found to be metabolically stable and has desirable pharmacokinetic properties in all animal species studied ( $\mathrm{F} \%>50 \%$, $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}>8 \mathrm{~h}$ ). Oral administration of $\mathbf{7 5}$ promotes mice survival and significantly delayed disease progression in a FIt-3/ITD-mediated leukemia mouse model and shows efficacy in a nude mouse model of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia.


## Introduction

The platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) family belongs to the large family of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) that are characterized by an extracellular ligand-binding region, a single transmembrane spanning region, and intracellular tyrosine kinase domains. ${ }^{1}$ PDGFRs are contained within the RTK type III subfamily that is distinguished by five immunogl obin-like repeats in the extracellular domain and a split tyrosine kinase in the intracellular domain. ${ }^{2}$ Abnormal PDGFinduced cell proliferation has been proposed to lead to proliferative disorders such as atherosclerosis, restenosis following percutaneous coronary intervention, glomerulosclerosis, liver cirrhosis, and certain cancers. ${ }^{3-7}$ The members of the PDGFR family include $\alpha$ PDGFR and $\beta$ PDGFR, col ony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R), FIt-3, and c-Kit. ${ }^{8}$ Within this kinase subfamily, mutated c -Kit has been identified in mastocytosis, acute myelogenous leukemia (AML), and gastrointestinal stromal tumors. ${ }^{9-11}$ FIt-3 is expressed on blasts in most patients with AML. ${ }^{12}$ Internal tandem duplication (ITD) mutations of $\mathrm{FIt}-3$ have been found in $\sim 30 \%$ of AML patients. ${ }^{13}$ These mutations constitutively activate the receptor and appear to be associated with poor prognosis, making Flt-3 kinase inhibition an attractive therapeutic strategy. ${ }^{14}$ Other studies have identified chro-

[^0]mosomal translocations involving the $\beta$ PDGFR in chronic myelomonocyctic leukemia (CMML). ${ }^{15}$
Several adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) competitive inhibitors of PDGFR phosphorylation have been previously reported including 3 -arylquinolines, ${ }^{16} 3$-arylquinoxalines, ${ }^{17}$ phenylaminopyrimidines, ${ }^{18}$ pyrazoles, ${ }^{19}$ and phenylbenzimidazoles derivatives ${ }^{20}$ (Figure 1). We recently demonstrated that CT52923 (II, Figure 1), an anal ogue within this novel series of 4-[4-(N-substituted thiocarbamoyl)-1-piperazinyl]-6,7-dimethoxyquinazolines, is a potent inhibitor of PDGF receptor phosphorylation. ${ }^{21}$ Previous structure-activity studies in this series have identified structural features of these inhibitors that contribute to their specific $\beta$ PDGFR inhibitory activity including electron-donating substitutions at the 6 - and 7-positions in the A-ring of the quinazoline ring and a piperazinylurea or the piperazinylthiourea moiety attached at the 4 -position of the quinazoline that is critical for inhibitory potency and PDGFR family specificity. ${ }^{21 b, 22}$ Additionally, one hydrogen atom on the nitrogen of the urea/thiourea moiety, an unsubstituted pi perazine B-ring, and bulky hydrophobic functionality at the 4 -position on the phenyl D-ring give optimal kinase antagonists. Despite extensive knowledge concerning this pharmacophore, anal ogues with appropriate pharmaceutical properties have not been identified to date. In this paper, we will present our efforts within the 4-piperazinylquinazoline dass of PDGFR family inhibitors involving efforts to increase the potency of anal ogues in human plasma, obtaining and retaining appropriate kinase specificity of analogues, and achiev-


Figure 1. Known class of PDGFR inhibitors.

## Scheme $1^{a}$


a Reagents: (a) Boc-piperazine, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 6 h . (b) $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane. (c) Method A: R-PhNCO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ or DMF; method B: (i) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{DSC}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) Compound 2, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; method C: (i) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{PhNH}_{2}$, triphosgene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}$; (ii) Compound 2, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; method D: (i) R-PhNH2, 4- $\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{OCOCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) Compound 2, heat.
ing desirable pharmacokinetic properties including high oral bioavailability and long plasma half-life.

## Chemistry

As depicted in Scheme 1, compounds 3-14 were prepared by treatment of the known 6,7-bismethoxyethoxy chloroquinazoline $\mathbf{1}^{23}$ with Boc-piperazine folIowed by removal of the Boc-protecting group to afford 2, fol lowed by urea formation. Four protocols were used to synthesize urea anal ogues from various para-substituted anilines (Scheme 1). Condensation of 2 with commercially available isocyanates was carried out in either dichloromethane or dimethylformamide (DMF) (method A). Treatment of various anilines with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ disuccinimidyl carbonate (DSC) in the presence of triethylamine in dichloromethane, followed by reaction with intermediate 2, was used to provide the desired ureas (method B). Sequential carbonylation of anilines with triphosgene in the presence of triethylamine, followed by addition of $\mathbf{2}$ in situ, also gave the desired ureas (method C). Heating 2 with various 4 -nitrophenylcarbamates, which were individually prepared by reacting the corresponding anilines with 4 -nitrophenylchloroformate in the presence of triethylamine, also provided the ureas (method D).
The synthesis of 6-H,7-methoxyethoxy analogues 19, 21, and 23 was achieved via the intermediate 17 (Scheme 2), which was synthesized starting from 7-fluoro3 H -quinazolin-4-one (15). ${ }^{24}$ The 7-fluoro-3H-quinazolin-

4-one was reacted with methoxyethoxyethanol to afford quinazolin-4-one (16), followed by chlorination and piperazine addition to give (17) (Scheme 2). The urea linkage formed in the final products was again achieved by reaction of $\mathbf{1 7}$ using the methods described in Scheme 1.

The synthesis of 6,7-disubstituted analogues 29-32 is shown in Scheme 3, where ethyl 3-hydroxy-4-fluorobenzoate is alkylated with chloroethylpiperidine or chl oroethyl morpholine followed by displacement of the fluoro group by the anion of 2-methoxyethanol to provide esters $\mathbf{2 5 a} \mathbf{,} \mathbf{b}$. The regiospecific nitration of $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ or $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ and subsequent reduction of the nitro groups resulted in amino esters 26a,b. The cyclization of amino esters 26a or 26b with formamide yielded the quinazol inone intermediates 27a,b, which were subsequently chlorinated with thionyl chloride in the presence of a catalytic amount of DMF to afford the corresponding 4-chloroquinazolines. These reactive intermediates were subsequently reacted with Boc-piperazine followed by deprotection to give the 4 -pi perazinylquinazolines 28a,b. The final urea linkage formation was achieved by one of the methods as described in Scheme 1.
The synthesis of isomeric intermediates with basic groups at the C-7 position of the quinazoline nucleus (anal ogues 37-40) is shown in Scheme 4. Ethyl 3-hy-droxy-4-fluorobenzoate was alkylated with 2-methoxyethyl bromide followed by displacement of the fluorine atom with the anion of 2-piperidin-1-yl or 2-morpholin-

Scheme $\mathbf{2 a}^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{HCONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, o/n. (b) 2-Methoxy ethanol, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (d) Piperazine, iPrOH, reflux, 2 h . (e) See Scheme 1 urea formation.

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) 1-(2-Chloroethyl)piperidine or 1-(2-chloroethyl)morpholine, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$. (b) 2-M ethoxy ethanol, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature. (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. (e) $\mathrm{HCONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, reflux, 12 h . (f) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, catalytic DMF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (g) Boc-piperazine, isopropanol, reflux. (h) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. (i) See Scheme 1 urea formation.

## Scheme $4^{\text {a }}$


a Reagents: (a) Methoxyethoxy bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$. (b) 2-Piperidin-1-yl-ethanol or 2-morpholin-1-yl ethanol or 2-pyrrolin1 -yl ethanol, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature. (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. (e) $\mathrm{HCONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{reflux}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. (f) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, catalytic DMF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (g) Boc-piperazine, isopropanol, reflux. (h) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. (i) See Scheme 1 urea formation.

1 -yl ethanol to give intermediates 33a,b. The same reaction sequence utilized in Scheme 3 was followed to achieve the synthesis of final products.

The synthesis of 6-methoxy-7-substituted analogues is represented in Scheme 5. Commercially available
vanillic acid was dibenzylated followed by nitration to afford intermediate 41 . The nitroester intermediate 41 was then reduced and cyclized with formamide to form thequinazolinonering, followed by chlorination to afford intermediate 42. The chloro group was displaced with

## Scheme $5^{a}$


a Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, room temperature. (b) $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 0-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, o n . (c) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, o/n. (d) HCONH 2 , $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. (e) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, catalytic DMF, toluene, reflux. (f) Boc-piperazine, DIEA, THF, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$. (g) Pd(OH $)_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, o/n. (h) Chloroethyl tosylate for $\mathrm{n}=0$ and chloropropyl tosylate for $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$. (i) $\mathrm{R} 1=$ basic moiety such as piperidine etc., DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (j) 4 N HCl , dioxane. (k) Substituted anilines; methods A-D as in Scheme 1.

## Scheme $6^{a}$



[^1] $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, catalytic DMF, toluene, reflux. (g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, toluene, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$. (h) $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{AcCl}$.

Boc-piperazine followed by debenzylation under hydrogenation conditions to afford intermediate 43. The intermediate 43 was alkylated with 2-chloroethyl ptoluenesulfonate for C -2-linked analogues (Table 4) and with 3-chloropropyl p-toluenesulfonate for C-3-linked analogues to afford intermediates 44a,b, respectively (Table 5). The chloroalkyl intermediates 44a,b were condensed with various secondary amines. The final urea-linked anal ogues 45-55 and 60-87 were synthesized as described in earlier schemes. These anal ogues were al so synthesized via an alternate route described in Scheme 6. Ethylvanillate was alkylated with 2-chloroethyl p-toluenesulfonate for C-2-linked analogues (Table 4) and with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane for C-3linked analogues (Table 5) to afford intermediates 56a,b, respectively (Scheme 6). The chloroalkyl intermediates were then condensed with various secondary amines, followed by nitration and subsequent reduction to provide intermediate aminoesters 57a,b, respectively. Cyclization with formamide afforded the corresponding quinazolinones 58a,b, which were subsequently chlorinated to give 4-Cl-quinazoline intermediates 59a,b. The 4-chloro group was displaced in the presence of potas-
sium carbonate in DMF with piperazine1-carboxylic acid(4-cyanophenyl)amide or piperazine-1-carboxylic acid-(4-isopropoxyphenyl) amideto give anal ogues 45-55 and 60-87.
The synthesis of 6-methoxy-7-al kyoxysulfone-substituted analogues (Table 6) is outlined in Scheme 7. The intermediate 43 was alkylated with 3-chloropropyl p-toluenesulfonate followed by displacement with various al kylthiolates to afford the thioether intermediate 88. The thioether 88 was then oxidized with m-chloroperbenzoic acid to afford the respective sul fones, fol lowed by deprotection and urea formation as described in earlier schemes.

## Results and Discussion

Previous studies have identified a new class of potent and selective inhibitors of PDGFR phosphorylation containing the 4 -[4-(N-substituted-carbamoyl)-1-piper-azinyl]-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline nucleus. ${ }^{21}$ The quinazoline and pyrimidine nucleus appeared to us to be attractive for lead optimization as this template has been previously expl oited to develop orally active EGF R tyrosine kinase inhibitors that are currently in clinical

## Scheme 7a




${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) Chloropropyl tosylate, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, o/n. (b) $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{SH}$, NaH , or KHMDS, THF, room temperature. (c) mCPBA, DCM , room temperature. (d) 4 N HCl , dioxane. (e) $\mathrm{DSC}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$.
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Figure 2. EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in clinic.
trials (Figure 2). ${ }^{25-29}$ Despite the promising biological activity within this novel series of PDGFR inhibitors, the initial 6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline-4-piperazinyl urea series of analogues, in most cases, displayed poor aqueous sol ubility. We additionally found that many of these analogues were unstable in vitro in human liver microsomes (HLM) and their potency was diminished as much as 50 -fold when their cellular activity was measured in the presence of human plasma.

In this paper, we report our efforts toward increasing RTK potency in plasma, achieving good kinase specificity, and achieving desirable pharmacokinetic properties including high oral bioavailability and long plasma halflife. We initiated the structure-activity relationship (SAR) exploration of the A-bicyclic ring, the C-urea linkage, and the D-ring to extensively characterize and optimize the properties of this series. All of the analogues synthesized were evaluated for their inhibition of $\beta$ PDGFR phosphorylation using the known cell-based assay. ${ }^{21,30}$ It should be pointed out from the start that the SAR obtained from such cell-based assays potentially report a combination of intrinsic activity of compounds against the kinase target modulated by the ability of compounds to permeate the cell wall during the assay. Because the ultimate goal of our study was
to identify compounds that can effectively inhibit RTK activity intracellularly, the cell-based assay was believed sufficient to devel op a robust SAR to guide drug candidate selection.

1. D-Ring Modifications. To improve the kinase inhibitory activity and aqueous solubility characteristics in the series, we initially utilized a strategy of incorporation of methoxyethoxy side chains at the 6,7-positions of the quinazoline nucleus. A similar strategy has previously provided improved physical properties of EGFR inhibitors. ${ }^{31}$ As shown in Table 1, substitutions were simultaneously explored in the phenyl D-ring within the 6,7-dimethoxyethoxy quinazoline series. Our previous investigation of various substituents on the D-phenyl ring indicated that bulky hydrophobic substitution at the 4-position increased kinase inhibitory activity. ${ }^{22}$ Similar to previous observations, the activity of 4-tert-butyl analogue (3) was significantly improved as compared with the 4-CN analogue (4) in this new series. The bulky 4-phenoxy derivative (10) also showed potent activity. Unfortunately, the stability of the 4-tertbutyl analogue (3) and the 4-phenoxy analogues (10) in HLM was not encouraging ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}<10 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Nevertheless, the high potency shown by bulky substituents at the 4 -position of the phenyl group prompted us to

Table 1. Inhibitory Activity in $\beta$-PDGFR Phosphorylation Assay


| Compound | $R$ | PDGFR Phosphorylation in MG63 cells | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min})$ in |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{uM})^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathrm{HLM}^{\mathbf{b}}$ |  |

No Plasma

[^2]Table 2. Inhibitory Activity in $\beta$-PDGFR Phosphorylation Assay

a,b See Table 1.
inhibitory activity. However, the p-tert-butyl analogue (11) showed a 6 -fold reduced activity. Even more hydrophobic and bulky substituents such as 5-isoquinolyoxy (6), 2-naphthoxy (7), and 4-indolyoxy (8) retained good potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<150 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Within the 6,7dimethoxyethoxy quinazol ine series, the general observations were that hydrophobic bulky urea analogues showed absolute increases in potency in cell assays containing human plasma and increased aqueous solubility over the previous 6,7-dimethoxy series. However, there was still a considerable shift in the obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values depending on whether the assays were run in the presence or absence of plasma (Table 1). This presumably can be attributed to the high plasma protein binding properties of the anal ogues since the compounds were found to be stable under the assay conditions (Table 1). This series of specific substitutions on the phenyl ring also resulted in derivatives with low stability in rat and HLM ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=6-20 \mathrm{~min}$ ), most likely attributable to several sites of potential metabolism. Taking this in vitro data into account, it was not surprising to find that this series of anal ogues did not display desi rable pharmacokinetic properties in the rat, where we were targeting the oral bioavailability of compounds to be greater than $20 \%$ and the plasma halflife to be >3 h (data not shown). Of interest in this series was the observation that the 4-CN (4) and 4-isopropoxy (14) substitutents in the D-ring afford the most metabolically stable anal ogues ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=70-90 \mathrm{~min}$ ).
2. A-Ring Modifications. As shown in Table 2, we also investigated the 6-H,7-methoxyethoxy and 6-OMe,7-
methoxyethoxy substitution pattern in the A-ring in order to potentially increase the stability in liver microsomes and attain optimal PK properties since preliminary studies suggested that demethylation within the 6,7-dimethoxy series was a potential liability. Unfortunately, the 6-H,7-methoxyethoxy analogue resulted in a 4.5 -fold lower potency ( $\mathbf{2 3} \mathrm{vs} \mathbf{2 2 \text { ). In the case }}$ of bulky hydrophobic substituents in the D-ring, the 6-H and 6-methoxy anal ogues were shown to have similar potency in the phosphorylation assay ( $\mathbf{1 8}$ vs $\mathbf{1 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ vs 21). The corresponding human liver microsomal halflife of 6-H,7-substituted series of compounds was found to be 25-33 min, which was modestly longer than the 6,7-dimethoxyethoxy series. The 4-cyano anal ogue 22 and the 4 -isopropoxy analogue $\mathbf{2 4}$ again displayed greater stability in HLM ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=80-110 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

In efforts to improve the aqueous solubility in this series, we also investigated the addition of basic solubilizing functionality at either the $\mathrm{C}-6$ or $\mathrm{C}-7$ side chains of the quinazoline ring system since there have been several reports in the kinase inhibitor literature wherein the addition of a 3-morphol inoal koxy group at either C-6 or C-7 of various 4-anilinoquinazolines results in not only improved aqueous solubility but also improved cellular activity. ${ }^{32-34}$ In our series, various basic groups were introduced into the alkoxy side chains, a versatile way to modify physical properties over a wide range of basicity and lipophilicity. The basic amine side chains were appended to either the C-6 or the C-7 of the methoxyethoxy series (Table 3). Because of early observations that 4 -CN and 4 -isopropoxy D-ring substitution

Table 3. In Vitro Activity for 6,7-Disubstituted Analogues


| Compound | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | PDGFR Phosphorylation in MG63 cells | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IC50 (uM) | in HLM |  |


|  |  |  |  | No Plasma | W/Human plasma |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | $O^{N-}$ | -OMe | -CN | 1.10 | 0.582 |  |
| 30 | $\underbrace{N}$ | -OMe | $0<1$ | 5.0 | 2.67 |  |
| 31 |  | -OMe | -CN | 0.501 | 0.335 | 82 |
| 32 | $\int^{N-}$ | -OMe | $0<$ | 0.198 | 0.110 | 106 |
| 37 | -OMe |  | $-\mathrm{CN}$ | 0.079 | 0.090 | 8 |
| 38 | -OMe |  |  | 0.099 | 0.090 | 36 |
| 39 | -OMe |  | -CN | 0.168 | 0.200 | 70 |
| 40 | -OMe | $V^{N-}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 0.145 | 0.175 | 525 |

a,b See Table 1.
afforded analogues with greatly improved liver microsome stability (analogues 4, 14, 22, and 24), these D-ring substitutions were kept constant. The morpho-line-containing analogues ( $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ ) at the $\mathrm{C}-6$ position resulted in equal or lower potency in the phosphorylation assay as compared to 4 and 14, respectively. The piperidine-substituted analogues (31 and 32) at the C-6 side chain significantly improved the potency in comparison to the morpholine analogues ( 29 and 30). The piperidine-containing analogue with the isopropoxy group in the D-ring was 20 -fold more potent than the morpholine-containing anal ogue ( $\mathbf{3 2}$ vs 30). Placing the piperidine-containing side chain at the C-7 alkoxy side chain resulted in enhancement of potency by at least 4 -fold ( 37 vs 31). The piperidine-containing side chain placed at either the 6 - or the 7 -position in the D-ring isopropoxy series resulted in similar potent anal ogues ( 38 vs 32 ). Because the morpholine-containing side chains in the 6 -substituted analogues resulted in decreased potency, we did not synthesize the corresponding analogues with morpholine-containing C-7 side chain in the 6,7-disubstituted series. In this series, the isopropoxy D-ring substitution afforded anal ogues with greatly improved liver microsome stability (anal ogues 31, 32, and 40).

In another series, various C-7 basic groups with a fixed ethylene unit were examined with a constant 6 -methoxy group and 4-cyanophenyl urea moieties (Table 4). The morpholine-containing analogue in this series resulted in nearly equivalent potency in the plasma-containing cellular assay (45 vs 22), whereas the piperidinecontaining analogue resulted in a 7 -fold increase in potency (46 vs 22). The 4-hydroxypiperidinecontaining analogue resulted in 33 -fold lower potency as compared to the piperidine-containing analogue (47 vs 46). The 4-piperidone-containing analogue (49) had similar potency as the methoxyethoxy anal ogue (22) and was found to be 6 -fold less potent than the piperidinecontaining analogue 46. The pyrrolidine-containing anal ogue (53) was found to be more potent than methoxy analogue 22 but was 3 -fold less potent than the corresponding pi peridine analogue (46). Substitution of the side chain with less basic aromatic amines such as pyrrole and imidazole resulted in 4-9-fold lower potency ( 54 and 55 vs 22). The substitution with piperazine resulted in 12 -fold lower potency ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{v s} \mathbf{2 2 ) \text { ). Other basic }}$ groups studied were found to be detrimental to the activity in this series or not as potent as the piperidinecontaining analogues. We also examined the same basic side chains at C-7 in analogues containing a 4-isopro-

Table 4. In Vitro Activity for the C-2-Linked Basic Unit at the C-7 Position


| Compound | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | PDGFR Phosphorylation in MG63 cells$\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mathbf{u M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ (min) <br> in HLM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No Plasma | W/Plasma |  |
| 22 | -OMe | -CN | 1.30 | 0.800 | 80 |
| 45 |  | -CN | 1.41 | 1.26 |  |
| 46 |  | -CN | 0.424 | 0.097 | 40 |
| 47 |  | -CN | 6.15 | 3.23 |  |
| 48 |  | -CN | 0.336 | 0.222 | 40 |
| 49 | $K$ | -CN | 1.37 | 0.601 | 4 |
| 50 |  | -CN | 6.50 | 7.79 |  |
| 51 |  | -CN | 0.750 | 0.662 | 88 |
| 52 | $S^{N-}$ | -CN | 0.450 | 0.305 | 1 |
| 53 |  | -CN | 0.150 | 0.206 | 182 |
| 54 | $=N$ | -CN | 8.18 | 5.17 |  |
| 55 | $\mathrm{N}^{-}-$ | -CN | 0.715 | 2.49 |  |
| 24 | OMe | $0-<$ | 0.420 | 0.215 | 110 |
| 60 | $5^{N-}$ | $0-<$ | 0.198 | 0.177 | 53 |
| 61 | $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-}$ | $0-<$ | 1.25 | 0.170 | 85 |
| 62 | $\begin{aligned} & N=N \\ & N-N- \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | $0-<$ | 0.335 | 0.380 | >400 |
| 63 | $\mathrm{E}_{-\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~N}-$ | $0-<$ | 0.526 | 0.520 | 58 |
| 64 | $\stackrel{N=N}{\stackrel{N}{N}} \stackrel{N}{2}$ | -CN | 2.24 | 4.69 | 19 |
| 65 | $\mathrm{E}_{-\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~N}-$ | -CN | 1.20 | 2.21 |  |
| 66 |  | -CN | 2.13 | 2.97 |  |
| 67 |  | $0-<$ | 0.494 | 0.680 |  |
| 68 | $\begin{aligned} & N=N \\ & N=N^{\prime}- \end{aligned}$ | $0-<$ | 0.507 | 1.43 |  |
| 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~N}- \\ & \mathrm{N}^{2}- \end{aligned}$ | $0-<$ | 0.216 | 0.350 | 119 |

poxyphenylurea D-region. The SAR was found to be subtly different than the 4-cyanophenylurea series. In this series, the piperidine and morpholine-containing analogues are of similar potency ( 60 vs 61). The substitution with the less basic triazole moiety resulted in 3-5-fold lower potency. The substitution with 1-tetrazole (68) resulted in 10-fold lower potency, whereas 2-fold lower potency was observed with the 2-tetrazole substitution (69). The basic and/or heteroaromatic substituents such as imidazole, pyrrole, triazole, and tetrazole in the C-7 alkoxy side chain resulted in decreases in potency to various degrees (54, 55, 62-65, and 68). These results are distinct from the observations for a different class of quinazoline VEGFR inhibitors where the heteroaromatic substituents such as imidazole and triazole at the C-7 alkoxy side chain led to very potent submicromolar kinase inhibitors and afforded high plasma levels in mice after oral administration. ${ }^{34}$

Three Carbon-Linked Basic Functionality at C-7. To optimize the potency of this class of compounds further, we explored the methylene unit extension of the C-7 alkoxy side chain (Table 5). The 7-morpholinopropoxy analogue resulted in 10-fold increased potency as compared to the 7-morpholinoethoxy analogue (71 vs 45). A similar improvement in kinase inhibitory activity has been reported in a series of anilinoquinazolineVEGF RTK inhibitors where 7-propoxy linkers were found to be more potent than ethoxy or butoxy linkers. ${ }^{34}$ The pi peridinepropoxy analogue shows a 3-fold increase in potency ( $\mathbf{7 5}$ vs 61 ). In the propoxy series, we also found a lower potency of analogues with aromatic basic functionality in the C-7 position (73, 74, 78, and 79). The 4-isopropoxyphenylurea-containing D-ring analogues are in general 3-8-fold more potent than the corresponding 4-cyanophenylurea-containing D-ring analogues. The potency of the anal ogues in this series was not appreciably affected by the presence of plasma, suggesting that these analogues may have significantly lower plasma protein binding. For example, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 75 in MG63 cells are 26 and 36 nM in the absence and in the presence of plasma, respectively. As it is well-documented in the literature, the oral absorption of drugs is often greatly effected by the basicity of the molecule. The predicted $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the basic units in the propoxy-containing side chain is approximately two units higher than the ethoxy-containing side chain analogues. Therefore, to reduce the basicity of the piperidine ring, 3 - and 4-difluoropiperidine rings were also explored as the basic side chain units in order to modulate their basicity. The fluoropiperidine-containing analogues (80-82) were found to retain potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $<150 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but their in vitro liver microsomal stability was surprisingly short ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}<10 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Interestingly, when we replaced the basic piperidine functionality by a cyclohexyl ring wherein the basic charge has been removed, a very weakly active analogue (83) was obtained. This observation strongly suggests that the basic C-7 side chain has requisite interactions with the kinase. The substitution with 4-methylpiperidine (84 and 85) and 2-methylpiperidine (86 and 87) in the C-7 side chain also results in highly potent analogues ( $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ value < 50 nM , Table 5). The 2- and 4-methylpiperidinecontaining anal ogues were also found to be reasonably stable in vitro in HLM ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=30-106 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. The
$\beta$ PDGFR phosphorylation assay shows that many of the compounds reported in this series are very potent inhibitors (Table 5, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<200 \mathrm{nM}$ ).

Additional analogues with other hydrophilic substituents such as hydroxyl, amidine, imidazoline, and proline carboxylic acid substitutions in the C-7 side chain were also prepared and resulted in weakly active analogues, suggesting that introduction of hydrophilic substituents other than tertiary amines is unfavorable at this position (Table 6).

To obtain potent, stable analogues that did not rely on using a basic functionality in the C-7 side chain, various sulfones were also explored as polar, nonbasic C-7 propoxy side chains. The analogues displayed potency in the following order: iso-butyl sulfone > isopentyl $=$ n-butyl $=$ n-propyl $>$ Et $>$ Me (Table 7). Unfortunately, the sulfone analogues did not approach the potency of the most active amine-containing analogues and did not have the desired in vivo pharmacokinetic properties ( $\mathrm{F} \%<10 \%, \mathrm{~T}_{1 / 2}<2 \mathrm{~h}$ ).

C-Linkage Modifications. In addition to A-ring and D-ring modifications, we also examined the replacement of the urea linkage by the isosteric thiourea unit as has been previously reported. ${ }^{21}$ These analogues showed good inhibitory activity for $\beta$ PDGFR phosphorylation. Most of the benzylthiourea and arylthiourea analogues are highly specific inhibitors of $\beta$ PDGFR and c-Kit kinases, whereas 30-300-fold higher concentrations were required for these analogues to inhibit FIt-3 and CSF-1R and will be the subject of a separate publication.

## In Vitro Characterization for Kinase Selectivity.

 Some of the more potent compounds prepared were also evaluated for inhibitory activity on related PDGFR kinase family members including c-Kit, FIt-3, and CSF1R tyrosine kinases using previously reported methods. ${ }^{21,30}$ As shown in Table 8, these compounds inhibited FIt-3, $\beta$ PDGFR, and c-Kit receptor autophosphorylation with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $50-200 \mathrm{nM}$ and were 15-30-fold less potent against CSF-1R. As shown in Table 8, the IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ value of 75 on PDGFR phosphorylation measured in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells is 200 nM , as compared to that of 26 nM in MG63 cells (Table 5). The difference may in part be due to a 5-10-fol d higher level of PDGFR in CHO transfectants than in MG63 cells. To our surprise and gratification, we found that the cyano-substituted D-ring analogues 84 and 86 with 2and 4-methylpiperidine substitution at the C-7 position inhibited $\beta$ PDGFR and c-Kit with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $<150$ nM , whereas 30-60-fold higher concentration was required to inhibit FIt-3 kinase. By contrast, the 2 - and 4-methylpiperidine analogues 85 and 87 with an isopropoxy D-ring inhibited $\beta$ PDGFR, c-kit, and FIt-3 receptor autophosphorylation with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 20200 nM. These observations with kinase specificity suggest that specific substitution in the D-ring has important enzyme interactions that may be influenced by the electronics of this ring. The presence of basic side chains at the C-7 position leads to improved inhibitory activity, good aqueous solubility, improved bioavailability in all species studied, and reduced protein binding.The kinase specificity profiles of $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ demonstrate that they are highly specific $\beta$ PDGFR inhibitors and have similar profiles to STI571 (Gleevec), which inhibits $\beta$ PDGFR and $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}$ but not FIt-3 or CSF-1R. ${ }^{35}$

Table 5. In Vitro Activity for the C-3-Linked Basic Unit at the C-7 Position



Table 6. In Vitro Activity for 7-Hydrophilic-Substituted Analogues


| Compound | R1 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{uM})^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | W/O Plasma | W/Plasma | in HLM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 90 |  | 12.25 | 6.75 |  |
| 91 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{HN}}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}$ | 0.871 | 9.10 |  |
| 92 | $\frac{\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{Me}}^{\mathrm{Ne}}}{}$ | 0.076 | 0.123 | >300 |
| 93 | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3.11 | 15.42 |  |
| 94 | --\% | 5.59 | 13.97 |  |

a,b See Table 1.

Table 7. In Vitro Activity for 7-Substituted Sulfone Analogues


| Compound | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{uM})^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min})$ in HLM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | W/O Plasma | W/Plasma |  |
| 95 | $\bigcirc$ | 0.409 | 0.530 | 199 |
| 96 | ys | 0.036 | 0.121 | 33 |
| 97 | $\cdots$ | 0.087 | 0.265 | >300 |
| 98 | $\sim$ | 0.112 | 0.292 | 59 |
| 99 |  | 0.050 | 0.240 | 31 |
| 100 | $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{C} \bigcirc$ | 0.564 | 1.23 |  |

a,b See Table 1.
The analogues 23, 61, 75 (CT53518), 76, and 85 were sel ected for further in vitro and in vivo characterization because of their potent inhibition of FIt-3, their $\beta$ PDGFR inhibition, and their stability in HLM. Other RTKs, cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases, serine/threonine kinases,

Table 8. Specificity Analysis of the Potent Analogues

| compd | $\beta$ PDGFR $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}^{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Kit}}(\mathrm{u} \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FIt-3 } \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CSF-1R } \\ { }^{\text {IC }} \mathrm{C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 5.46 |
| 61 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 3.43 |
| 75 (CT53518) | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 3.43 |
| 76 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 1.40 |
| 84 | 0.073 | 0.004 | 1.91 |  |
| 85 | 0.039 | 0.021 | 0.128 |  |
| 86 | 0.150 | 0.006 | 8.79 |  |
| 87 | 0.074 | 0.016 | 0.200 |  |
| STI571 | 0.24 | 0.26 | > 30 | 0.96 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{CHO}$ cell lines expressing wild-type $\beta$ PDGFR or $\beta$ PDGFR/cKit, $\beta$ PDGFR/FIt-3, and $\beta$ PDGFR/CSF-1R chimeric receptors were grown to confluency in 96 well microtiter plates under standard tissue culture conditions. Phosphorylation assays were performed as described for $\beta$ PDGFR phosphorylation assay in MG63 cells.
or other members of the MAPK pathways were not found to be significantly inhibited at 100-1000-fold higher concentrations of 75 and 76 (Table 9). Anal ogues 75 (CT53518) and 76 were also found to inhibit autophosphorylation of a constitutively activated FIt3/ITD mutant expressed in hematopoietic cells or AML cell lines with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $30-100 \mathrm{nM}$ (data not shown).
In Vivo Characterization. Several of the more potent and metabolically stable analogues $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}<300\right.$ $\left.n M, T_{1 / 2}(H L M)>40 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ were also evaluated in vivo. Pharmacokinetic properties of these compounds were obtained from studies in rats, dogs, and monkeys following intravenous and oral administration (Figures 3 and 4 and Table 10). The oral bioavailability of analogues 23, 61, 75, 76, and 85 following oral dosing ranged from 19 to $100 \%$ depending upon the species evaluated. At the oral doses examined, plasma levels

Table 9. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ Values of 75 and 76 on Various Kinases

|  | 75 (CT53518) | 76 | staurosporin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. RTKs |  |  |  |
| KDR | > 30 | > 30 | $0.08 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| EFGR | > 30 | > 30 | ND |
| FGFR | > 30 | > 30 | 0.42 |
| InsR | > 30 | ND | <0.3 |
| II. Non-RTKs |  |  |  |
| Src | 30 | > 30 | 0.07 |
| Abl | > 30 | $>30$ | 0.10 |
| III. Ser/Thr Kinases |  |  |  |
| IV. MAP and MAPK Kinases |  |  |  |
| Mek1, Mkk4, Mkk6, Erk2, p38 | > 30 | > 30 | <1 |



Figure 3. Semilogarithmic plots of dose-normalized plasma concentration-time profiles of selected analogues following oral administration to Lewis rats.


Figure 4. Semilogarithmic plots of mean ( $\pm$ SD) plasma concentration-time profiles of 75 (CT53518) following an oral admi nistration to Cynomol gus monkeys ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and Beagle dogs ( $7.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ).
of these compounds were maintained well above their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. Maximum plasma concentrations obtained for $\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{6 1}, \mathbf{7 5}, \mathbf{7 6}$, and 85 were $762,414,256,49.2$, and $82.3 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Apparent terminal half-lives for 61, $\mathbf{7 5}$ (CT53518), and $\mathbf{8 5}$ were relatively long (> 7 h). Analogue $\mathbf{7 5}$ displayed a consistently long half-life in rats, dogs, and monkeys ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}>10 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and good oral bioavailability (>50\%). It also maintained plasma concentrations above its I $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ value for 24 h (Figure 4).

On the basis of these data, we chose to demonstrate the in vivo efficacy of 75, and we have tested it in two

Table 10. Pharmacokinetic Data for Selected Analogues

|  | 24 | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { (CT53518) } \end{gathered}$ | 76 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rat |  |  |  |  |  |
| dose iv/po (mg/kg) | 1.5/5 | 1/30 | 10/30 | 1.5/5 | 2/20 |
| F (\%) | 72.1 | 125 | 23.8 | 20.8 | 24.6 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 1.38 | 8.32 | 10.6 | 2.76 | 11.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | 762 | 414 | 256 | 49.2 | 82.3 |
| $A \cup C_{P O}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 1580 | 4412 | 1700 | 176 | 1150 |
| Dog |  |  |  |  |  |
| dose iv/po (mg/kg) | 0.5/5 | 0.5/5 | 2/7.5 | 0.5/5 | 2/5 |
| F (\%) | 73.6 | 13.5 | 97.6 | 63.3 | 65.1 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 1.36 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 7.16 | 7.64 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | 1498 | 43.0 | 481 | 183 | 113 |
| $A \cup C_{P O}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 4250 | 387 | 2690 | 1390 | 696 |
| Monkey |  |  |  |  |  |
| dose iv/po (mg/kg) | 0.5/5 | 0.5/10 | 0.5/5 | 0.5/5 | 1/25 |
| F (\%) | 26.6 | 38.8 | 48.9 | 18.9 | 40 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 0.70 | 6.30 | 16.6 | 2.60 | 14.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | 636 | 386 | 317 | 135 | 703.2 |
| $A \cup C_{\text {PO }}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 1250 | 3080 | 2920 | 663 | 16100 |
| in vitro $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min})$ in human liver microsomes | 110 | 85 | 285 | 25 | 106 |



Figure 5. Improved survival in mouse CMML model with oral administration of 75 (CT53518).
different mouse leukemia models by oral dosing. One is a nude mouse CMML model using Baf3 cells expressing Tel/ $\beta$ PDGFR chimera, which had been found in a subset of CMML patients and can confer IL-3-independent cell proliferation in vitro. ${ }^{36}$ As shown in Figure 5, injection of $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells into nude mice caused all animals to die within 20-46 days. Oral gavage of 75 (CT53518) at $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, twice daily, from day 7 to day 42 significantly delayed mice death with a p $<0.0005$. The treated animals did not begin to die until day 40. However, additional deaths occurred in the treated group as late as day 60 . These studies provide a "proof of principle" that oral treatment with analogue 75 (CT53518) can provide an effective treatment for CMMLlike disease in animals.
Up to $30 \%$ of AML patients harbor an ITD within the juxtamembrane domain of the FIt-3 RTK causing constitutive receptor activation. Because 75 and 76 are potent inhibitors of FIt-3, we also analyzed their efficacy in a FIt-3/ITD-mediated leukemia mouse model. We found that oral administration of 75 (CT53518) and 76 significantly delayed disease progression and promoted mice survival in a dose-dependent manner. ${ }^{37}$

## Conclusions

Kinase inhibition represents a novel mechanismbased approach to selectively block signaling pathways that are known to mediate disease processes. The highly successful dinical trials employing Gleevec for the treatment of CML have validated kinase inhibition as
a therapeutic strategy in oncology. ${ }^{38,39}$ Our synthetic efforts have focused on preparing PDGFR family specific kinase antagonists from an initial series of 4-piperazinyl quinazolines. Our goals were to enhance the intrinsic potency of this series in human plasma, retain the appropriate kinase specificity of analogues, and achieve desirable pharmacokinetic properties including oral bioavailability and long plasma half-life. Novel piperazinylquinazolines possessing 4-phenylurea substituents, a C-6 methoxy, and a wide range of basic C-7 substituents were found to be nanomolar inhibitors of PDGF RTK enzymes. Through this SAR study and compound optimization, we have identified a specific, potent, orally active piperazinylquinazoline class of inhibitors directed against selected members of the PDGFR family. These analogues are equivalent in potency for $\beta$ PDGFR, c-Kit, and FIt-3. Analogues 84 and 86 are highly specific for $\beta$ PDGFR and c-Kit, whereas 30-60-fold higher concentrations were required for these analogues to inhibit Flt-3 kinase. Analogues 75 (CT53518) and 85 have desirable pharmacokinetic properties in the animal species studied ( $\mathrm{F} \%>50 \% \mathrm{~T}_{1 / 2}$ $>8 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The oral administration of 75 (CT53518) at 60 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ showed significant delay in murine death in the nude mouse model of CMML. Oral administration of 75 (CT53518) and 76 significantly delayed disease progression and promoted survival in a dose-dependent manner in a FIt-3/ITD-mediated leukemia mouse model. On the basis of the in vitro activity of 75 (CT53518), its microsomal stability, oral bioavailability, and half-life in vivo, we have chosen it as a development candidate for clinical study. Our data support the development of the small molecule RTK inhibitor such as 75 (CT53518), initially as a potential treatment of AML due to its inhibition of FIt-3/ITD mutants. This strategy may be extended to the treatment of other diseases that are mediated by the PDGFR family of RTKs.

## Experimental Section

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity +400 spectrometer unless otherwise noted. Low-resolution mass spectra were recorded with a HP 1100-MSD LC-MS spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded with a VG ZAB2-EQ high-resolution mass spectrometer and performed at the University of California, Berkeley. Normal phase silica gel (EM Science, Silica Gel 60) was used for chromatography. Final compounds were purified by reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Waters 4000Prep, Waters 490E multiwavelength detector, and Vydac 218TP 1022 column (10 $\mu \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{18}, 22 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Purity of the compounds was confirmed by two diverse HPLC systems using Waters 600 controller, Waters 996 photodiode array detector, and Vydac 201HS54 column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{18}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Analytical HPLC run using 214 nm for detection. HPLC no. 1-Gradient method using a Keystone Beta Basic column (C18, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ 50 mm ) or HPLC no. 2-I socratic method using Keystone Beta Basic column (C18, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ is the percentage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ employed in the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The new compounds synthesized were characterized by mass spectrometry (MS) and NMR, and purity was determined by HRMS and two HPLC systems. We did not obtain melting points and elemental anal yses of these compounds as most of the derivatives were amorphous and only small amounts were synthesized.

The typical synthetic methods for urea formation are described as follows.

Method A. A mixture of $\mathbf{2}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-cyanophenylisocyanate ( 2 mmol ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was stirred overnight at
room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into water, and then, saturated sodium chloride solution ( 100 mL ) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the title compound as a crude residue. This was purified by reversed-phase (RP)-HPLC to afford the title compound 4 as an off-white solid ( $0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ).

Method B. To the tetrahydrofuran (THF)/DCM (1:1.5 mL) solution of 4 -( 1 H -indol-4yloxy)phenylamine ( 2 mmol ) was added slowly a DCM solution of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-disuccinimidyl carbonate ( 2.1 mmol ) and triethylamine. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . To this was added rapidly a dichloromethane solution ( 5 mL ) of $\mathbf{2}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( 2 mmol ), and this reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford title compound 8.

Method C. To a solution of triphosgene ( 0.45 mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ) at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) solution of 4-(quinolin-5-yloxy)phenylamine ( 1.35 mmol ) and triethylamine ( 2.25 mmol ) over a period of 15 min . The mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min and then was slowly treated with a acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) solution of $\mathbf{2}(1.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( 2.25 mmol ). The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to give crude residue. The crude residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford 5.

Method D. To a solution of 4-tert-butylamine ( 0.680 mL , 1.5 mmol ) in NMP ( 10 mL ) were added 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( $0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $1.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.95$ mmol ) under cooling with ice bath. After the reaction mixture was stirred for $2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{2}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then poured into water, extracted with ethyl acetate, and dried over sodium sulfate. The residue after evaporation of solvent was purified by RP-HPLC to give compound 3 ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ).

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-tert-Butylphenyl)amide (3). Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was synthesized using method D as a yellow fluffy solid ( $0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.63$ (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 2 H ), $4.26\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.22\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $3.83(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ : $538(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 538.3029; found, 538.3015.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (4). Yield 60\% using method $\mathrm{A} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.3(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ : $507(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 507.2355; found, 507.2351.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(Quinolin-5-yloxy)phenyl]amide (5). (a) 4-(Quinolin-5-yloxy)phenylamine. To a dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 7 mL ) solution of 5-hydroxyquinoline ( 0.519 g , 3.58 mmol ) under argon at room temperature was added NaH ( $0.184 \mathrm{~g}, 4.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resultant yellow solution was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. 1-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzene ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added to the reaction and was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 8 h . No starting material was detected by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and RPHPLC. The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate was dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated to afford crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{H}$ exane/2\%MeOH to afford 5 -(4-nitrophenoxy)quinoline as a yellow solid ( $689 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). MS (ES): $267(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The nitro compound ( $0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in EtOH ( 5 mL ) and EtOAc ( 5 mL ). To this solution was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(100 \mathrm{mg})$, and it was hydrogenated under 1 atm for 2.5 h . This mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to afford the desired

4-(quinolin-5-yloxy)phenylamine as a yellow solid ( 580 mg , 98.3\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.87-8.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.70$ (m, 3H). MS (ES): $625(M+H)^{+}$.
(b) Compound 5. Yield $40 \%$ using method C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 9.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02$ (dd, 1H, J $=5,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.40-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $625(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 625.2774; found, 625.2770.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(I soquinolin-5-yloxy)phenyl]amide (6). Yield $40 \%$ using method $C$ and 4 -(isoquinolin-5-yloxy)phenylaminefor the urea formation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 9.81$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.85,7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.38-4.32 (m, 8H), 3.87-3.80 (m, 8H), $3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S):$ $625.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 625.27804; found, 625.2776.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(Naphthalen-2-yloxy)phenyl]amide (7). Compound $\mathbf{7}$ was synthesized as a white solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) using method C and 4-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)phenylamine instead of 4-(quinolin-5-yloxy)phenylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.55-7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-$ $3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 624.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 624.2831; found, 624.2826.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(1H-I ndol-4-yloxy)phenyl]amide (8). Compound 8 was synthesized as a white solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) using method C employing 4-(1H-indol-4-yloxy)phenylamine instead of 4-(quinolin-5-yloxy)phenylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ OD): $\delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.13 (s, 1H), 7.10-7.08 (d, 1H, J = 8 Hz ), 7.06-7.05 (d, 1H, J $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96-6.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85-6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.46-6.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.187-6.181(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.29-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $613.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, $\left.\mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 613.2774; found, 613.2779.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(2,3-Dihydro-1H-indol-4-yloxy)phenyl]amide (9). Compound 9 was synthesized using method B as a creamy solid ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.54$ (s, 1H) , 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.37-7.35 (dd, 2H, J = 2, 6.5 Hz), 7.32 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93-6.90$ (dd, 2H, J = 2, 6.5 Hz ), 6.84-6.82 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1,8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.28$4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $615(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 615.2931; found, 615.2930.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Phenoxyphenyl)amide (10). Compound 10 was synthesized as a shiny white solid ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) using method C employing 4-phenoxyaniline. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ OD): $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 8H), 3.81-3.78 (m, 8H ), $3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 593(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, $574(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(4-tert-Butylphenoxy)phenyl]amide (11). Compound 11 was synthesized as a light yellow solid (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) using method $\mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): ~ \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $630.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}){ }^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 630.3291; found, 630.3289.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-p-Tolyloxyphenyl)amide (12). Com-
pound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was synthesized as a shiny white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ) using method C and employing 4-(p-tolyloxy)phenylamine, which was synthesized by the same method as described for compound 5. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80-6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $588.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 588.2822; found, 588.2820.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid [4-(4-Bromophenoxy)phenyl]amide (13). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.231(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}):$ $654.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6,7-Bis-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (14). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.23-7.21 (d, 2H, $\mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80-$ $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75-6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.51$ (hept, 1H ), 4.36-4.31(m, 8H), 3.85-3.78 (m, 8H), 3.43 (s, 6H), 1.27 (d, 6H). MS (ES): $540(M+H)^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 540.2822; found, 540.2815.

N-(4-I ndol-5-yloxyphenyl) \{4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-methoxy-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazinyl\}carboxamide (18). (a) tert-Butyl-4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazinecarboxylate. To a DMF ( 300 mL ) solution of the vanillic acid ( $25 \mathrm{~g}, 149 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $102.7 \mathrm{~g}, 744 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{BnBr}(44.2 \mathrm{~g}, 372 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered, EtOAc was added, and the solution was washed with brine, dried, and concentrated. Purification on silica gel chromatography eluting with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexane gave 55 g (96\%) of the bis-benzylated product.
To a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution ( 100 mL ) of the bis-benzyl-protected material ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 57.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added acetic acid ( 100 mL ). To this cold solution was slowly added concentrated $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ ( $25.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 574.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was warmed to room temperature followed by reflux overnight at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After it was cooled, it was poured into ice, extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the desired intermediate product as a yellow solid ( $21.8 \mathrm{~g}, 96.5 \%$ ). MS (ES): $416(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

To the EtOAc solution ( 100 mL ) of this nitro derivative (10.9 $\mathrm{g}, 27.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(18.7 \mathrm{~g}, 83.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After it was cool ed, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were dried and evaporated to afford the intermediate amino product as a brown solid (9.5 g, 95\%). MS (ES): 364 (M + H) ${ }^{+}$
The amino product ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in formamide ( 20 mL ), to this was added ammonium formate ( $0.781 \mathrm{~g}, 12.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . During this time period, the SM was consumed as evaluated by HPLC. After it was cooled, the reaction was poured into water to afford a creamy precipitate. The precipitate was collected by filtration, which is the desired intermediate, 7-benzyl oxy-6-methoxy-4-quinazolinone ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). MS (ES): $283(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

A mixture of 7-benzyloxy-6-methoxy-4-quinazolinone ( 1 g , 3.5 mmol ), thionyl chloride ( 5 mL ), and DMF ( 0.5 mL ) was heated at reflux for 4 h . After the mixture was cooled, excess thionyl chloride was removed by evaporation and the residue was azeotroped with toluene to afford the intermediate, 4-chloro-6-methoxy-7-benzyloxyquinazoline 42, as a yellow solid ( $0.652 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ). MS (ES): $301(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a THF solution ( 20 mL ) of 4-chloro-6-methoxy-7-benzyIoxyquinazol ine ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added Boc-pi perazi ne ( 2.2 $\mathrm{g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by DIEA ( $4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the solution was heated overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in water and
extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc layer was dried, filtered, and evaporated to give the intermediate tert-butyl 4-[6-methoxy-7-phenylmethoxy) quinazol in-4-yl ]piperazinecarboxyIate as a white solid ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). MS (ES): 451 (M + H) ${ }^{+}$.

The benzyloxy compound ( $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in EtOH ( 5 mL ), to this was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}(0.050 \mathrm{~g})$, and the mixture was placed on a Parr hydrogenator at 50 psi $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, washed with EtOH, and evaporated to dryness to afford the intermediate 43 ( $0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ). MS (ES): $361(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
To an acetone solution ( 10 mL ) of tert-butyl 4-(7-hydroxy-6-methoxyquinazol in-4-yl) piperazinecarboxylate ( $0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.165 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(0.020 \mathrm{~g})$ was added 2-bromomethylethyl ether ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was refluxed overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford the intermediate tert-butyl-4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)-quinazolin-4-yl]piperazinecarboxylate as the desired product ( $0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ). MS (ES): 419 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) N-(4-I ndol-5-yloxyphenyl) \{4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-meth-oxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazinyl\}carboxamide (18). To a solution of tert-butyl-4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)-quinazolin-4-yl ]piperazinecarboxylate ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TFA ( 0.5 mL ), and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was resuspended in anhydrous THF/ DCM. To a solution of 4-indol-5-yloxyphenylamine ( 0.046 g , $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF/DCM 1:1 ( 2 mL ) at room temperature under argon was added DIEA ( $0.130 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ followed by DSC ( $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h followed by the addition of the solution of [ 6 -methoxy-7-phenylmethoxy)quinazol in-4-yl ]piperazine ( $0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.185 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/DCM, 1:1 ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until no starting material could be detected by RPHPLC. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to give the title compound $18(0.090 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (d, 1H), $7.30-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.38$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). MS (ES): $569(M+H)^{+}$.
\{4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazinyl\}N -(4-indol-5-yloxyphenyl (19). (a) 4-Piperazinyl-7-(2methoxyethoxy)quinazoline (17). To an ethanol solution ( 15 mL ) containing 2 -amino-4-fluorobenzoic acid ( $0.840 \mathrm{~g}, 5.41$ mmol ) was added thionyl chloride ( $1.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting suspension was refluxed overnight. The sol vent was evaporated followed by addition of EtOAc, washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution, dried, filtered, and evaporated to afford the desired ethylester as a solid ( $0.811 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.88-7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 6.35-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$, $\mathrm{H}-5), 5.86\left(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.33-4.27\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 137(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). MS (ES): $601(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 184(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a formamide ( 6 mL ) solution of ethyl-2-amino-4-fluorobenzoate ( $0.811 \mathrm{~g}, 4.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added ammonium formate ( $0.450 \mathrm{~g}, 7.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was heated at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After the mixture was cooled, water ( 50 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ) were added. The layers were separated, and the EtOAc layer was dried, filtered, and evaporated to give the desired 7-fluoro-4-quinazolinone 15 (1 g, quantitative). $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 165(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a DMF solution ( 3 mL ) of 2-methoxyethanol $(0.962 \mathrm{~mL}$, 12.2 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium hydride ( $0.488 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2$ mmol ), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min . To this cold solution was added a DMF solution ( 3 mL ) of intermediate 7-fluoroquinazolinone ( $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford desired product 16 as a creamy sol id ( $0.459 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). MS (ES): 221 (M $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

A mixture of 7-(2-methoxyethoxy)-4-quinazolinone $\mathbf{1 6}$ ( 0.360 $\mathrm{g}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After it was cooled, excess $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was removed by evaporation and the residue was azeotroped with toluene to afford crude
residue. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layer was dried, filtered, and evaporated to give 4-chloro-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazoline ( $0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ). MS (ES): $239(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a 2-propanol solution ( 10 mL ) of 4-chloroquinazoline ( $0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added piperazine ( $0.296 \mathrm{~g}, 3.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated for 4 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sol vent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford 4-piperazinyl-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazoline 17 as a white solid ( 0.150 g , 60\%). MS (ES): $289(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) \{4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazi-nyl\}-N-(4-indol-5-yloxyphenyl (19). Compound 19 (40\%) was synthesized by the same method as for 18 using 4 -indol-5-yloxyphenylamine and 4-piperazinyl-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazoline (17). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD30 $)$ : $\delta 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}$, 1H), 7.30-7.22 (m, 5H ), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-$ $4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 499$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
\{4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazinyl\}N -(4-phenoxyphenyl) carboxamide (21). Compound 21 (38\%) was synthesized using the same method as for 18 employing 4-phenoxyaniline and 4-piperazinyl-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazoline (17). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.57$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-$ $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 500(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1)+ calcd, 500.2297; found, 500.2293.
\{4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]pip-erazinyl\}-N-[4-(cyano)phenyl]carboxamide (22). To a soIution of tert-butyl-4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl ]piperazinecarboxylate ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1 mL ) was added TFA ( 0.5 mL ), and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After that, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was resuspended in anhydrous acetonitrile ( 1 mL ) and added to an acetonitrile ( 1 mL ) solution of cyanophenyl isocyanate ( $0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , and during that time, no starting material could be detected by TLC and RP-HPLC. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to yield the title compound 22 as a creamy solid ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.36(\mathrm{~d}$, 2H ), 7.30-7.28 (d, 2H), $7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.22$ (bs, 4H), $3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $463.50(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]pip-erazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (24). The final urea formation was carried out using method C and 4-isopropoxyaniline and 4-[6-methoxy-7-(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazol in-4-yl ]piperazine to afford desired product 24 as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.51$ (hept, 1H ), 4.36-4.34(m,6H), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-$ $3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ 3.42(s, 3H), $1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}):$ $496(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 496.2559; found, 496.2563.

4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-6-(2-morpholin-4-yl-ethoxy)-quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (29). (a) 7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-6-(2-mor-pholin-4-yl-ethoxy)-4-piperazin-1-yl-quinazoline (28b). To a DMF ( 10 mL ) solution of ethyl 3-hydroxy-4-fluorobenzoate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.63 \mathrm{~g}, 19.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by 4-(2-chloroethyl)morpholine ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 5.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and it was heated to $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered, and the sol vent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 1.50 g ( $88 \%$ ) of the intermediate.

To a THF solution ( 5 mL ) of 2-methoxyethanol ( $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.58$ mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium hydride ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.528 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min . To this cold solution was added a THF solution ( 3 mL ) of above intermediate ( 0.159 $\mathrm{g}, 0.528 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford intermediate as a white solid ( $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ). MS (ES): $354(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

This solid ( $0.810 \mathrm{~g}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetic acid ( 3 mL ), and the solution was cooled to $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with nitric acid ( 0.300 mL ). After 1 h , a solution of sulfuric acid ( 0.300 mL ) and $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}(0.235 \mathrm{~g}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. After completion of the reaction, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ was added to obtain a $\mathrm{pH} \sim 10$ and then extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried, filtered, and evaporated to give nitro intermediate as a brown solid ( $0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 90.7 \%$ ). MS (ES): 421 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 399(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

This nitro derivative ( 0.133 g ) was dissolved in EtOH (10 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) and then treated with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 60 mg ). Hydrogenation was performed under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ overnight during which the reduction was determined to be complete. The solution was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to afford the amino intermediate 26b as an oil ( $0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ).

To a formamide solution ( 2 mL ) of 2-amino-4-(2-methoxy-ethoxy)-5-(2-morpholin-4-yl-ethoxy)benzoic acid ethyl ester ( $0.713 \mathrm{~g}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added ammoni um formate ( 0.196 g , 3.10 mmol ) followed by heating the mixture to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After it was cool ed, water ( 50 mL ) was added and the sol ution was washed with EtOAc $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 7-morpholinoethoxy-6-meth-oxyethoxy-4-quinazolinone formate salt 27b as a yellow solid (0.800 g). MS (ES): $350(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

A mixture of quinazolinone ( $0.750 \mathrm{~g}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thionyl chloride ( 6 mL ), and DMF ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was heated at reflux for 2 h. After the mixture was cooled, excess thionyl chloride was removed by evaporation and the residue was azeotroped with toluene to afford the intermediate, 4-chloro-quinazoline, as a yellow solid ( $0.450 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ). MS (ES): 368 (M+H)+.

To a 2-propanol solution ( 20 mL ) of above chloroquinazoline ( $0.402 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added Boc-piperazine ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64$ mmol ) and triethylamine ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford the desired intermediate ( $0.598 \mathrm{~g}, 86.6 \%$ ). MS (ES): $518.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. The deprotection was carried out with 4 N HCl in dioxane at room temperature for 1 h to afford $\mathbf{2 8 b}$.
(b) 4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-6-(2-morpholin-4-yl-ethox-y)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl )amide (29). Compound 29 was synthesized using method $A$ as a yellow solid ( $0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) 3.75-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.42 (bs, 5H ). MS (ES): $562.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M $+1)^{+}$calcd, 562.2777; found, 562.2776.

The analogues 30-32 were synthesized from the same intermediate using the procedure described for the synthesis of analogue 29. For the isopropoxy analogues, the urea formation was carried out by method B.

4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-6-(2-morpholin-4-yl-ethoxy)-quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (30). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-3.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 3.72-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S): 617.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 595.3(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1)+ calcd, 595.3224; found, 595.3233.

4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-6-(2-piperidin-4-yl-ethoxy)quin-azolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (31). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.60-7.52 (m, 5H ), $7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 3.74-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.16-3.06 (m, 2H ), 1.97-1.74 (m, 5H ), 1.57-1.42 (m, 1H ). MS (ES): $560(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, $\left.M+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 560.2985; found, 560.2996.

4-[7-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-6-(2-pi peridin-4-yl-ethoxy)-quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (32). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{1H})$,
$7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ (hept, 1H, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.34-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) 3.60-3.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.54-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 593$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M +1)+ calcd, 593.3451; found, 593.3463.

The regioisomers $\mathbf{3 7}-\mathbf{4 0}$ were synthesized using similar methods as described for analogue 29 except that in these instances ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-fluorobenzoate was first alkylated with 2-bromoethyl methyl ether followed by displacement of fluorine with the anion of 2-piperidin-1-yl ethanol, 2-morphilin-1-yl ethanol, or pyrrolinyl-1-yl ethanol.

4-[6-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-7-(2-piperidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quin-azolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (37). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.38-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) 3.70(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.50$ (m, 1H).MS (ES): $560(M+H)^{+}$.

4-[6-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-7-(2-piperidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quin-azolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylicAcid(4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (38). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.72-4.62 (m, 2H ), 4.53 (hept, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39-4.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-$ $1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 593$ (M + H) ${ }^{+}$.

4-[6-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-7-(2-pyrrolidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quin-azolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (39). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NM}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.91-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $546(M+H)^{+}$

4-[6-(2-Methoxyethoxy)-7-(2-pyrrolidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quin-azolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylicAcid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (40). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.51 (hept, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.38-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.76$ (m, 10 H ), $3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). MS (ES): $579.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-morpholin-4-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (45). (a) To a DMF solution ( 2 mL ) of 4-(7-hydroxy-6-methoxy-quinazol in-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester $43(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22$ mmol ) followed by chloroethyl tosylate ( $0.045 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated, and residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford the desired intermediate as a viscous oil ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ). MS (ES): $423(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a DMF solution ( 2 mL ) of above intermediate $(0.015 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.036 mmol ) was added morpholine ( $0.036 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for analogue 45 or other respective secondary amines and heterocydes as shown in Table 4 for anal ogues 46-55 and 6069. The reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and monitored by HPLC for disappearance of starting material. The sol vent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford basic intermediate as white solid ( 0.016 g , 92\%). MS (ES): $472(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) After deprotection of Boc functionality to afford 44a, the urea formation was carried out as in method A to afford analogue 45 as a white solid ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ OD): $\delta 8.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-$ $3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $518(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6-(2-Methoxy)-7-(2-piperidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (46). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $516.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Identification of 4-Piperazinylquinazolines as Antagonists
4-\{7-[2-(4-Hydroxy-piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (47). Yield $30 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$. $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta$ $8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-$ $4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.78(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ), 3.52-3.48 (m, 3H), 2.27-2.00 (m, 4H). MS (ES): 532.6 $(M+H)^{+}$

4-\{ 7-[2-(1,4-Dioxa-8-aza-spiro[4.5]dec-8-yl)ethoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (48). Yield $25 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 574.64(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

4-\{ 7-[2-(4-Oxo-piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (49). Yield $7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 38.4 \%$. $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-$ $4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $530(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-piperazin-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (50). Yield $37.2 \mathrm{mg}, 59.2 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.65$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.65-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.32$ (m, 6H), 3.91-3.78 (m, 12H), $3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $517(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 517.2675; found, 517.2664.

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[2-(4-methyl-piperazin-1-yl)ethoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (51). Yield $50 \mathrm{mg}, 80.2 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-$ $4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.80(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 3.68-3.20 (m, 7H), 2.98 (s, 3H). MS (ES): 531.6 (M + $\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1)+ calcd, 531.2832; found, 531.2819.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-thiomorpholin-4-yl-ethoxy)quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (52). Yield $43.9 \mathrm{mg}, 75.9 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): ~ \delta 8.40$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-$ $3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 534(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 534.2287; found, 534.2284.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-pyrrolidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (53). Yield $40.9 \mathrm{mg}, 58.2 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): ~ \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 502(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 502.2566; found, 502.2570.

4-[7-(2-I midazol-1-yl-ethoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (54). Yield $34 \mathrm{mg}, 47.9 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 9.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.62 (s, 1H), $7.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.04(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.86-3.82 (m, 4H). MS (ES): 499 (M + H) ${ }^{+}$.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-pyrrol-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (55). Yield $21 \mathrm{mg}, 69.8 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.6(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.0(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-$ $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S)$ : $498(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{+} \mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 498.2253; found, 498.2258.

Anal ogues 60-69 were synthesized using method $B$ for final urea linkage formation.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-morpholin-4-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (60). Yield $29.6 \mathrm{mg}, 52.6 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.65$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.8$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.5$ (hept, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.39-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 551(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
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4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-piperidin-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (61). Yield $338 \mathrm{mg}, 93.7 \%$. $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ and 6.8 $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ and 6.8 Hz$), 4.67-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.50 (hept, 1H ), 4.36-4.32 (m, 4H), 4.03 (s, 3H ), 3.81-3.79 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27-1.25$ (d. 6H). MS (ES): $549(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, $M+1)^{+}$calcd, 549.3189; found, 549.3178.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (62). Yield $147 \mathrm{mg}, 36.87 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.18-7.16 (d, 2H), 6.82-6.80 (d, 2H ), 4.98-4.97 (m, 2H ), 4.65 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{hept}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.50$ (m, 4H), $1.30(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 533(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$cal cd, 533.2624; found, 533.2631.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-2-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (63). Yield $145 \mathrm{mg}, 36.8 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ (s, 1H), $7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.98-4.97 (m, 2H ), $4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{hept}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 533$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 533.2624; found, 533.2621.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (64). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $500(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-2-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (65). ${ }^{1 H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-$ $4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): 500 $(M+H)^{+}$

4-\{7-[2-(1,1-Dioxo-1 $\lambda^{6}$-thiomorpholin-4-yl)ethoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\} piperazine-carboxylic Acid (4Cyanophenyl)amide (66). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.6(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-$ $4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.80(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.18-3.12 (m, 4H). MS (ES): $566(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

4-\{7-[2-(1,1-Dioxo-1 $\lambda^{6}$-thiomorpholin-4-yl)ethoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-carboxylic Acid (4Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (67). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.40$ (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, 2H), 7.58 (d, 2H), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ (hept, 1 H$), 4.40-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.00-3.98 (m, 2H), 3.88-3.86 (m, 4H), $3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.50$3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}$, 6 H ). MS (ES): $599(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, $\left.M+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 599.2651; found, 599.2655.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-tetrazol-1-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (68). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{hept}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 534.2577; found, 534.2592.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-tetrazol-2-yl-ethoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (69). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{hept}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Exact mass ( $\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1$ ) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 534.2577; found, 534.2587 .

Anal ogues 70-87 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 5. The synthesis of representative examples 70 and 75 is described as follows.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(3-piperidin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (70). (a) To a DMF solution ( 3 mL ) of 4-(7-hydroxy-6-methoxy-quinazol in-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester $43(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.365 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12$
mmol ) followed by chloropropyl tosylate ( $0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated overnight at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was filtered, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RPHPLC to afford the desired intermediate as a solid ( 0.100 g , 81.9\%). MS (ES): $437(M+H)^{+}$

To a DMF sol ution ( 3 mL ) of the above intermediate ( 0.103 $\mathrm{g}, 0.236 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added piperidine ( $0.376 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by triethylamine ( $0.132 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.944 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and monitored by HPLC for disappearance of starting material. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford intermediate 44b as a white solid ( $0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 46.4 \%$ ). MS (ES): $486(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) The above intermediate ( 37 mg ) was stirred in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( 1 mL ) for 1 h , and the solvent was evaporated to afford 6-methoxy-4-piperazin-1-yl-7-(3-piperidin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazol ine intermediate. The urea formation was carried out as in method A with 4-cyanophenyl isocyanate to afford analogue 70 as a white solid ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ OD): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.4$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 530(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$calcd, 530.2879; found, 530.2877.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(3-morpholin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (71). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.5(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 532(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$cal cd, 532.2672; found, 532.2681.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(3-pyrrolidin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (72). The final urea formation step was carried out using method A with 6-methoxy-4-piperazin-1-yl-7-(3-pyrro-lidin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazoline and 4-cyanophenyl isocyanate to afford 72 as a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.43-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.45$ (m, 2H ), 3.19-3.15 (m, 4H), 2.45-1.98 (m, 4H). MS (ES): 516 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass ( $\left.\mathrm{FAB}, \mathrm{M}+1\right)^{+}$calcd, 516.2723; found, 516.2728.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-1-yl-propoxy)quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (73). Method A ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta$ $8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S)$ : $514(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 514.2315; found, 514.2310.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-2-yl-propoxy)quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (74). Method A ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta$ $8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $514(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 514.2315; found, 514.2315.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(3-piperidin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (75). Method B ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta$ $8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.14$ (dd, J = 8,0, $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 6.74-6.72 (dd, J = 8,0, $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.43-4.40 (hept, 1 H ), 4.32-4.28 (t, 2H), 4.16-4.13 (m, 4H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.72$3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-$ 2.78 (m, 2H), 2.35-2.25 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.42 (m, 6H), 1.19$1.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S): 563(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) ${ }^{+}$calcd, 563.3345; found, 563.3341.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(3-morpholin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (76). The final urea formation step was carried out using method B to afford 76 as a yellow solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.21 (dd, 2H), 6.83-6.81 (dd, 2H ), 4.53-4.49 (hept, 1H), 4.35-4.31 (m, 4H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.80-3.78 (m, 6H ), 3.47-3.44
(t, 2H ), 3.19-3.15 (m, 2H), 2.39-2.32 (m, 2H), 2.20-2.03 (m, 4H), 1.19-1.17 (d, 6H ). MS (ES): $565.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Exact mass (FAB, M + 1) cal cd, 565.3138; found, 565.3127.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(3-pyrrolidin-1-yl-propoxy)quinazolin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (77). The final urea formation step was carried out using method $B$ to afford 77 as a yellow solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.21 (dd, 2H), 6.83-6.81 (dd, 2H), 4.53-4.49 (hept, 1H), 4.35-4.31 (m, 4H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.80-3.78 (m, 6H ), 3.47-3.44 (t, 2H), 3.19-3.15 (m, 2H), 2.39-2.32 (m, 2H), 2.20-2.03 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.17(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 549(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-1-yl-propoxy)quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (78). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.73 (d, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.23-7.21 (dd, 2H), $7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.83-6.81 (dd, 2H), 4.70 (t, 2H), 4.52-4.49 (hept, 1H), 4.35$4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.56-2.48 (m, 2H), 1.27-1.25 (d, 6H). MS (ES): $547(M+H)^{+}$.

4-[6-Methoxy-7-(2-[1,2,3]triazol-2-yl-propoxy)quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (79). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.23-7.20 (dd, 2H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 6.83-6.81 (dd, 2H), 4.69 (t, 2H ), 4.52-4.49 (hept, 1H), 4.34-4.32 (m, 4H ), 4.19 (t, 2H), $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.27-1.25 (d, 6H). MS (ES): 547 (M + H )+.

4-\{7-[3-(4,4-Difluoro-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\} piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (80). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (s, 4H), $7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H ), 3.78-3.74 (m, 6H), $3.4(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $566(M+H)^{+}$

4-\{ 7-[3-(4,4-Difluoro-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (81). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.55$ (s, 1H), $7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (s, 1H), 7.15 (dd, J $=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.77 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.44 (hept, 1H), 4.29-4.24 (m, 6H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.73-3.71 (m, 6H), $3.4(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $599(M+H)^{+}$

4-\{7-[3-(3,3-Difluoro-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\} piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (82). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.55$ (s, 1H), $7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (s, 1H), 7.15 (dd, J $=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.77 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.44 (hept, 1H), 4.29-4.24 (m, 6H), 3.94 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.4(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $599(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[7-(3-Cyclohexyl-propoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (83). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.61$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.51 (hept, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.37-4.28 (m, 4H), 4.48-4.20 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-$ $1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $548.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[3-(4-methyl-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\} piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (84). Compound 84 was synthesized using method A. ${ }^{1 H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (s, 1H), $7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-$ $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.78-1.64 (bs, 1H), 1.52-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.02 (d, $\mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 544.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[3-(4-methyl-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (85). Compound 85 was synthesized using method A . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD30D): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ ( s , $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (s, 1H), 7.22 (dd, J $=8.8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.83 (d, J $=8$, $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.323(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.64(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-$ $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H). MS (ES): $577.3(M+H)^{+}$.

Identification of 4-Piperazinylquinazolines as Antagonists
4-\{ 6-Methoxy-7-[3-(2-methyl-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Cyanophenyl)amide (86). Compound 86 was synthesized using method A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-$ $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-$ $3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-$ $1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S): 544.3(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{ 6-Methoxy-7-[3-(2-methyl-piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (87). Compound 87 was synthesized using method A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.08 (dt, J $=12.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-1.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44$ and $1.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 577.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[3-(1-methyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)propoxy]quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (90). (a) To a DMF solution (5 mL ) of 4-[7-(3-chloropropoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl]pip-erazine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester ( $0.357 \mathrm{~g}, 0.819 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sodium cyanide ( $0.240 \mathrm{~g}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium iodide ( $0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 0.819 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was heated to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . During this time, no starting material was apparent by HPLC. The reaction mixture was diluted after it was cooled with water and ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was separated, dried, filtered, and concentrated to crude residue. The cruderesidue was purified by RP-HPLC to afford the desired cyano intermediate. MS (ES): $428.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Into a pyridine/triethylamine ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10: 1$ ) solution of the above intermediate ( $0.273 \mathrm{~g}, 0.639 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was bubbled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight, during which time complete consumption of starting material was observed by RP-HPLC. The solvent was concentrated under vacuum, and the residue obtained was suspended in acetone (dry, 10 mL ) followed by addition of methyl iodide (3 equiv) and then stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated to afford thiomethylimidate intermediate as crude residue. MS (ES): 462.1 (M $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To the methanol solution ( 10 mL ) of thiomethylimidate ( $0.136 \mathrm{~g}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added a premixed solution of N -methylethylenediamine ( $0.020 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.213 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic acid ( 0.013 mL ) in methanol ( 1 mL ). The mixture was heated to reflux overnight and then, the solvent was evaporated to afford crude residue. The crude residue was purified by RPHPLC to afford desired N-methylimidazoline intermediate. MS (ES): $485.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) The above intermediate ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( 2 mL ) for 1 h , and the solvent was evaporated to afford 6-methoxy-4-piperazin-1-yl-7-\{3-(1-meth-yl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-2-yl)propoxy\}quinazoline intermediate. The urea formation was carried out using method B with 4-isopropoxyphenyl aniline to afford analogue 90 as a white solid ( $0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH})$, $7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, $\mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): 562.3 (M + H) ${ }^{+}$.

4-[7-(3-Carbamimidoyl-propoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (91). Compound 91 ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ) was synthesized using the same method for 90 and employing ammonium acetate instead of N -methylethylenediamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ OD): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50$ (hept, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.33-4.26 (m, 6H ), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.264(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ES): $522.3(M+H)^{+}$.
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4-[7-(3-Dimethylamino-propoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (92). Compound 92 ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) was synthesized by the same method for 90 using dimethylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.63$ (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.22 (d, J $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES): $523.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[7-(3-Aminopropoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl]pip-erazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-I sopropoxyphenyl)amide (93). 4-(7-H ydroxy-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl)piperazine1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester $\mathbf{4 3}$ was alkylated with 3-bromopropylamine hydrobromide in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by deprotection and urea formation with 4-isopropoxy-aniline to afford 93. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-$ $3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (d, J = $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES): $495(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[7-(3-Hydroxypropoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazolin-4-yl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (94). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD3OD): $\delta 8.60$ (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, 2H), 7.58 (d, 2H), $7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (d, J = $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES): $522.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[7-(3-Ethanesulfonyl-propoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (95). (a) To an acetone solution ( 5 mL ) of 4-[7-(3-chloropropoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazol in-4-yl ]piperazine-1-carboxyl ic acid tert-butyl ester ( $0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 0.573 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added sodium ethylthiolate, which was stirred at room temperature for 1 h until complete by TLC and RP-HPLC. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and dichloromethane. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried (MgSO4), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford intermediate ( 0.287 g ). MS (ES): $463.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a dichloromethane solution ( 5 mL ) of the above alkylthiol $(0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 0.606 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added m -chloroperbenzoic acid ( $0.403 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The RP-HPLC showed consumption of starting material, and a new peak was observed. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and then 1 N NaOH . The organic layer was then dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and concentrated to the desired sulfone intermediate ( $0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ). MS (ES): $495(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) The above intermediate ( $0.260 \mathrm{~g}, 0.526 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( 2 mL ) for 1 h , and the solvent was evaporated to afford 6-methoxy-4-piperazin-1-yl-7-(3-meth-anesulfonyl-propoxy)quinazoline intermediate. The urea formation was carried out using method $B$ with 4-isopropoxyphenyl aniline to afford analogue 95 as a white solid ( 0.200 g , 69.8\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.62$ (s, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.23 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ $3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 315(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-$ $2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6H). MS (ES): $594.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}), 572.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[3-(2-methyl-propane-1-sulfonyl)pro-poxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (96). Compound 96 ( $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) was synthesized by the same method used for 95 using isobutyl mercaptan. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.43 (hept, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.75-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25$ (hept, J $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.04 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES): $600.2(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[3-(propane-1-sulfonyl)propoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\} pi perazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (97). Compound 97 ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) was
synthesized by the same method used for 95 using KHMDS to generate anion of propanethiol instead of sodium hydride for methanethiol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, $\mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.44-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}): 586.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-[7-(3-Butane-1-sulfonyl-propoxy)-6-methoxy-quinazo-lin-4-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (98). Compound 98 ( $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) was synthesized by the same method used for 95 using KHMDS to generate anion of butanethiol instead of sodium hydride for methanethiol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.39-4.30 (m, 6H), $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.823.77 (m, 4H), 3.34-3.28 (m, 2H), 3.16-3.10 (m, 2H), 2.44$2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES): $600.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{6-Methoxy-7-[3-(3-methyl-butane-1-sulfonyl)propoxy]-quinazolin-4-yl\} piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (99). Compound 99 ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ) was synthesized by the same method used for 95 using KHMDS to generate anion of 3-methyl-butane-1-thiol instead of sodium hydride for methanethiol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.96 (d, J $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES): 614.3 (M + $\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

4-\{ 6-Methoxy-7-[3-(2,2,2-trifluoro-ethanesulfonyl)pro-poxy]quinazolin-4-yl\}piperazine-1-carboxylic Acid (4Isopropoxyphenyl)amide (100). Compound $100(0.045 \mathrm{~g}$, $45 \%$ ) was synthesized by the same method used for 95 using KHMDS to generate anion of 2,2,2-trifluoroethane thiol instead of sodium hydride for methanethiol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ : $\delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (hept, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.40-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.27 (d, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.96 (d, J $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES): $626.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

PDGFR Kinase Phosphorylation Assay in MG63 Cells. Human osteosarcoma MG63 cells expressing endogenous $\beta$ PDGFR were grown to confluency in 96 well microtiter plates under standard tissue culture conditions, followed by serum starvation for 16 h . Briefly, quiescent cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with increasing concentrations of the analogues ( $0.01-$ $30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 30 min followed by the addition of 8 nM PDGF-BB for 10 min . To measure the effect of plasma on analogue potency, quiescent MG63 cells were incubated with anal ogues in the presence or absence of $45 \%$ human plasma prior to PDGF-BB stimulation. Cells were lysed, and clarified lysates were transferred into a second microtiter plate in which the wells were previously coated with anti- $\beta$ PDGFR monoclonal antibody and then incubated for 2 h at room temperature. After the cells were washed three times with binding buffer, $250 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of rabbit polyclonal antiphosphotyrosine antibody (Transduction Laboratory, Lexington, KY) was added and plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min . Subsequently, each well was washed three times with binding buffer and incubated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit antibody (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min . Wells were washed prior to adding ABTS (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO), and the rate of substrate formation was monitored at 650 nm .

Specificity Analysis on PDGFR Family Kinases. PDGFR family kinase assays were cell-based enzymelinked immunosorbent (ELISA) assays using CHO cells expressing chimeric protein containing the extracellular and transmembrane domains of $\beta$ PDGFR and the cytoplasmic domain of CSF-1R, c-Kit, and FIt-3, which were grown to confluency in 96 well microtiter plates under standard tissue culture condi-
tions, followed by serum starvation for 16 h . Phosphorylation assays were performed as described for the $\beta$ PDGFR phosphorylation assay in MG63 cells.

Pharmacokinetic Analysis. Pharmacokinetics analysis of 24, 61, 75, 76, and 85 was conducted in fasted male Lewis rats, female Beagle dogs, and male Cynomol gus monkeys. The doses studied are summarized in Table 10. Blood samples were collected prior to administration and serially out to 24 or 48 h. The plasma concentration of each compound was analyzed using LC/MS/MS (PE-SCIEX). Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated by noncompartmental analysis of concentra-tion-time data using Watson program, version 6.1.1.

Metabolic Stability Method. Each compound was incubated with HLM in the presence of cofactors. The experiment was conducted in a shaking waterbath at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Incubation mixtures contained a final concentration of 0.1 M phosphate buffer ( pH 7.4 ), $3.3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ liver microsomes, 5 mM of compound, and NADPH-generating system. The NAD-PH-generating system contained 1.3 mM NADP, 3.3 mM glucose-6-phosphate, and $0.4 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. The reaction was started by the addition of the NADPH-generating system. A 0.1 mL aliquot was taken from the incubation at $0,7,15,35$, and 60 min into a tube containing 0.1 mL of cold acetonitrile and KN1022, an internal standard. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged. The supernatant was diluted with water and injected into a SCIEX API-365 LC/MS/MS equipped with an APCl source. The slope of disappearance of each compound in the microsomal incubation was determined by a linear regression analysis of the natural logarithm (In) of peak area ratio of the compound and internal standard vs time. Half-life values were calculated as $\ln (2)$ divided by the disappearance slope.
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[^1]:    a Reagents: (a) For $\mathrm{n}=0$, chloroethyl tosylate or for $\mathrm{n}=1$, 1-bromo-3-chloropropane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, reflux. (b) $\mathrm{HNO} 3, \mathrm{AcOH}, 0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ basic substituent, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{nBu} \mathrm{u}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$, toluene, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (e) $\mathrm{HCONH}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. (f)

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Autophosphorylation was measured in intact cells using two site ELISA as described elsewhere. ${ }^{21,29}$ Assay conditions for PDGFR phosphorylation in the presence and absence of plasma are described in the Experimental Section. IC ${ }_{50}$ values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations. The average error for the determinations was $\pm 15 \%$. ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{HLM}$ assay.
    evaluate additional compounds in this series. The addition of small substituents on the 4-phenoxy ring
    anal ogue was found to be acceptable, such as p-methyl
    (12) and $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}$ (13), since both substituents retained good

